

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,398	03/08/2004	William E. McKinzie III	39588.00002.UTL1	9029
36183 7	12/20/2005		EXAMINER	
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP			HAM, SEUNGSOOK	
P.O. BOX 919 SAN DIEGO,	CA 92191-9092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/796,398	MCKINZIE, WILLIAM E.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Seungsook Ham	2817		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No	ovember 2005.			
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>39-49,52,59 and 60</u> is Claim(s) <u>1-38,50,51 and 53-56</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>57,58,61 and 62</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	s/are withdrawn from consideration	on.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 November 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) 🗍 a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document: Certified copies of the priority document: Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 59 and 60 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Newly submitted claims 59 and 60 are directed to the invention other than previously claimed (i.e., not directed to the Elected Species IV, see Response to the Election/Restriction filed on 5/11/05).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 59 ("first impedance...less than the second impedance") and 60 ("wherein a first conducting rod... to the second conducting plane") are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 57 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 57, lines 10-11, and claim 58, 5-7, "wherein the resonant via is electrically coupled to only the first and second conducting pads at **all frequencies**..." is not disclosed in the original disclosure.

Page 3

In response to the applicant's REMARKS (filed on 11/18/05, p. 17, second paragraph) that new claims are supported by "paragraphs 30-33 and 47-48 and Figures 4 and 6 of the present invention", the examiner disagrees. The applicant failed to specifically point out how the newly added limitation is supported by the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riad (US '597).

Riad (figs. 12D) discloses a resonant element comprising: a first conducting plane 50 and a second conducting plane 54; a resonant via 68, 70 that is capacitively coupled to the first and second conducting planes (the space between the upper conducting pad of the resonant via 68 and the first conducting plane provides capacitance, and the space between the lower conducting pad of the resonant via 70 and the second conducting plane provides capacitance), wherein the resonant via 68, 70 comprises an inductance (see fig. 3); a first conducting pad (the upper conducting

Page 4

pad that is connected to the resonant via 68) proximate to one end of the resonant via and disposed in a third plane (where the upper conducting pad of the resonant via 68 is located at) substantially parallel to the first conducting plane; and a second conducting pad (the lower conducting pad that is connected to the resonant via 70) proximate to the other end of the resonant via and disposed in a fourth plane substantially parallel to the second conducting plane (where the lower conducting pad of the resonant via 70 is located at), wherein the first conducting pad is proximate to the first conducting plane and the second conducting pad is proximate to the second conducting plane.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-38, 50, 51 and 53-56 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 57-61 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2817

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

sh

December 12, 2005